

RANDALL KEITH BEANE ©
Randall Keith Beane ©

Original ©

SECURED PARTY

Reference: BEANE -v- USA, 3:21-cv-00375-TAV-DCP ©

PRAECIPE

TO: LeAnna R. Wilson and Clerk of Court,
Howard J. Baker Jr. U.S. Courthouse,
800 Market Street, Suite 130,
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902.

You will, forthwith, file the enclosed documents into the above referenced case record, in the following order:

1. STANDING DUE CANCELLATION OF DOCUMENT 17; Original Instrument
2. STANDING DUE CANCELLATION OF DOCUMENT 16; Original Instrument
3. STANDING DUE CANCELLATION of alleged decision, with reference: "Paul R. Wellons" and "FBI", and with issue date October 29, 2023
4. STANDING DUE CANCELLATION of alleged decision with reference: "James G. Touhey, Jr.", and "DOJ", and with issue date October 29, 2023
5. Universal Security Agreement ©, Secured Party, Randall Keith Beane ©
6. Certificate of Service

Duly made, issued, verified and noticed, for all to rely upon, pursuant to the Universal Security Agreement, *the Perpetuity, restated



SECURED PARTY: Original ©, Randall Keith Beane ©

* ORIGINAL INSTRUMENT *

* NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT *

* NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL *

DULY CANCELLED FOR DUE CAUSE BY ORIGINAL ISSUER

RANDALL KEITH BEANE ON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT APRIL 15, 2024
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE FOR DUE CAUSE:

RANDALL KEITH BEANE,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

) UNIVERSAL SECURITY AGREEMENT @ NO.
) 2000043135, RECEIPT NO. 36090
) AND THE AMENDMENTS THEREUNDEL
) RESTATED AND INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE
) AS IF SET FORTH IN FULL,
) Nos.: 3:21-CV-375-TAV-DCP
) 3:17-CR-82-TAV-DCP-1
) "THE PERPETUITY"
) APRIL 15, 2024

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy
of the electronically filed original document.
ATTEST: LeAnn R. Wilson, Clerk

By:

Deputy Clerk

JUDGMENT ORDER

For the reasons set forth in the accompanying opinion, it hereby is **ORDERED** and **ADJUDGED** that Petitioner's motion for recusal [Case No. 3:21-cv-375, Doc. 10] is **DENIED**, his § 2255 motion [Case No. 3:17-cr-82, Doc. 271; Case No. 3:21-cv-375, Doc. 1] is **DENIED**, and his motion for summary judgment [Case No. 3:21-cv-375, Doc. 13] is **DENIED**. This action is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

Should Petitioner give timely notice of an appeal from this order, such notice will be treated as an application for a certificate of appealability, which is hereby **DENIED** because he has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b); *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). Additionally, the Court has reviewed this case in accordance with Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and hereby **CERTIFIES** that any appeal from this action would not be taken in good faith and would be totally frivolous. Therefore, any application by Petitioner for leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal is **DENIED**. See Fed. R. App. P. 24.

4/15/24
EKB

DULY CANCELLED FOR DUE CAUSE BY ORIGINAL ISSUER, RESTATED

The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to **CLOSE** civil case number 3:21-CV-375.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Thomas A. Varlan
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

STANDING
CANCELLED

4/15/24
PRM ©

* ORIGINAL INSTRUMENT *
* * NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT * *
* * NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL * *
Duly CANCELLED FOR DUE CAUSE BY ORIGINAL ISSUER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

RANDALL KEITH BEANE ON
APRIL 15, 2024
FOR DUE CAUSE!

RANDALL KEITH BEANE,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

) UNIVERSAL SECURITY AGREEMENT @,
) NO. 2000043135, RECEIPT NO. 36090
) AND THE AMMENDMENTS THEREUNDER,
) RESTATED AND INCORPORATED BY
) REFERENCE AS IF SET FORTH IN FULL,
) Nos.: 3:21-CV-375-TAV-DCP
) 3:17-CR-82-TAV-DCP-1
) "THE PERPETUITY"
) APRIL 15, 2024

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy
of the electronically filed original document.

ATTEST: Anna R. Wilson, Clerk

By: 
Deputy Clerk

RANDALL KEITH BEANE @
MEMORANDUM OPINION

Petitioner Randall Keith Beane has filed a motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 [Doc. 271; Case No. 3:21-cv-375 ("Civil Case"), Doc. 1],¹ as well as several supplements [Civil Case, Docs. 2, 3, 4, 5], a motion to recuse [Civil Case, Doc. 10], and a motion for summary judgment [Civil Case, Doc. 13]. The government responded in opposition [Civil Case, Doc. 14], and petitioner has replied [Civil Case, Doc. 15]. First, petitioner's motion for recusal [Civil Case, Doc. 10] will be **DENIED**. Furthermore, because, based on the record, it plainly appears that petitioner is not entitled to relief, it is not necessary to hold an evidentiary hearing,² and petitioner's § 2255 motion [Doc. 271; Civil Case, Doc. 1] and motion for summary judgment [Civil Case, Doc. 13] will be **DENIED**.

¹ All docket citations refer to the underlying criminal case unless otherwise indicated.

² An evidentiary hearing is required on a § 2255 motion unless the motion, files, and record conclusively show that the prisoner is not entitled to relief. See 28 U.S.C. § 2255(b). It is the prisoner's ultimate burden, however, to sustain his claims by a preponderance of the evidence. See *Pough v. United States*, 442 F.3d 959, 964 (6th Cir. 2006). Accordingly, where "the record conclusively shows that the petitioner is entitled to no relief," a hearing is not required. *Arredondo v. United States*, 178 F.3d 778, 782 (6th Cir. 1999) (citation omitted).

Duly CANCELLED FOR DUE CAUSE BY ORIGINAL ISSUER, RESTATED

I. Background

The Court presumes familiarity with the facts of this case and will only provide a brief description of the relevant facts. On February 1, 2018, a jury found petitioner guilty of five counts of wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, one count of bank fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1344, and one count of conspiracy to commit money laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) [Doc. 119]. The Court entered a final judgment sentencing petitioner to 155 months' imprisonment on July 25, 2018 [Doc. 228].

Petitioner subsequently filed a notice of appeal [Doc. 230]. On September 24, 2019, the Sixth Circuit affirmed petitioner's convictions [Sixth Circuit CM/ECF, Case No. 18-5777, Doc. 28-2]. Petitioner did not file a petition for certiorari with the United States Supreme Court. On November 4, 2021, petitioner filed the instant § 2255 motion [Doc. 271].

II. Legal Standard

The Court must vacate, set aside, or correct a prisoner's sentence if it finds that "the judgment was rendered without jurisdiction, or that the sentence imposed was not authorized by law or otherwise open to collateral attack, or that there has been such a denial or infringement of the constitutional rights of the prisoner as to render the judgment vulnerable to collateral attack" 28 U.S.C. § 2255. To obtain relief under § 2255 because of a constitutional error, the error must be one of "constitutional magnitude which had a substantial and injurious effect or influence on the proceedings." *Watson v. United States*, 165 F.3d 486, 488 (6th Cir. 1999) (citing *Brecht v. Abrahamson*, 507 U.S. 619,

4/15/24
RXB
C

Pg. 2 of 11
Duly

637 (1993)). A § 2255 petitioner has the burden of proving that he is entitled to relief by a preponderance of the evidence, *Pough v. United States*, 442 F.3d 959, 964 (6th Cir. 2006), and must clear a significantly higher hurdle than would exist on direct appeal. *United States v. Frady*, 456 U.S. 152, 166 (1982).

III. Analysis

A. Motion to Recuse

Petitioner moves for recusal based on the facts that “[t]he current judge/former trial judge is a named co-conspirator” and the undersigned granted the government an extension of time to respond to the pending § 2255 motion [Civil Case, Doc. 10, pp. 1–2].

Judicial disqualification is required under 28 U.S.C. § 455(a) “in any proceeding in which [the judge’s] impartiality might reasonably be questioned.” Additionally, in relevant part, 28 U.S.C. § 455(b)(1) requires disqualification “[w]here [the judge] has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party[.]”

The plaintiff has the burden of establishing objective evidence of bias, *i.e.*, whether a reasonable person, knowing all the surrounding circumstances, would consider the judge impartial. *Gargallo v. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.*, 1992 WL 99456, at *5 (6th Cir. May 12, 1992); *United States v. Sammons*, 918 F.2d 592, 599 (6th Cir. 1990). “‘Personal’ bias is prejudice that emanates from some source other than participation in the proceedings or prior contact with related cases [and] ... arises out of the judge’s background and associations.” *Sammons*, 918 F.2d at 599 (quoting *Wheeler v. Southland Corp.*, 875 F.2d 1246, 1251–52 (6th Cir. 1989)).

11/12/24
RKD

“[J]udicial rulings alone almost never constitute a valid basis for a bias or partiality motion.” *Liteky v. United States*, 510 U.S. 540, 555 (1994). Moreover, “opinions formed by the judge on the basis of facts introduced or events occurring in the course of the current proceedings, or of prior proceedings, do not constitute a basis for a bias or partiality motion unless they display a deep-seated favoritism or antagonism that would make fair judgment impossible.” *Id.* Indeed, “[i]t has long been regarded as normal and proper for a judge to sit in the same case upon its remand, and to sit in successive trials involving the same defendant.” *Id.* at 551. Moreover, judicial “expressions of impatience, dissatisfaction, annoyance, and even anger” are insufficient to support a recusal motion. *Id.* at 555–56.

The undersigned is also mindful that the Sixth Circuit has cautioned that “[t]here is as much obligation upon a judge not to recuse himself when there is no occasion as there is for him to do so when there is.” *Easley v. Univ. of Mich. Bd. of Regents*, 853 F.2d 1351, 1356 (6th Cir. 1988) (alteration in original) (citation omitted). In short, unnecessary recusals waste judicial resources. *City of Cleveland v. Krupansky*, 619 F.2d 576, 579 (6th Cir. 1980).

Petitioner’s primary argument in favor of recusal is merely a disagreement with the undersigned’s rulings, specifically, granting the government additional time to respond to petitioner’s § 2255 motion [Civil Case, Doc. 10, pp. 1–2]. This is precisely within the scope of the Supreme Court’s admonition in *Liteky* and is not a basis for disqualification. Moreover, to the extent that petitioner asserts that the undersigned is a “named co-conspirator” for purposes of his § 2255 motion [See Civil Case, Doc. 10, pp. 1–2], the

11/5/24
RKBC

Court notes that a review of all of the filings in this proceeding indicate that such allegation stems from petitioner's disagreement with the undersigned's rulings in petitioner's underlying criminal proceedings, which he now challenges through § 2255. Accordingly, petitioner has not established any appropriate grounds for recusal in this case, and his motion for recusal [Civil Case, Doc. 10] is **DENIED**.

B. Section 2255 Motion

As an initial matter, the Court notes that petitioner asserts that "there is no statute of limitations for constitutional violations" [Civil Case, Doc. 1, p. 59]. However, petitioner is incorrect. Section 2255 provides a remedy for constitutional violations. And the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act ("AEDPA") contains a one-year statute of limitations for the filing of a § 2255 motion. 28 U.S.C. § 2255(f). This one-year limitations period commences on the latest of the following:

- (1) the date on which the judgment of conviction becomes final;
- (2) the date on which the impediment to making a motion created by governmental action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the movant was prevented from making a motion by such governmental action;
- (3) the date on which the right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if that right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or
- (4) the date on which the facts supporting the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.

Id. The Court will thus address whether petitioner's § 2255 motion is timely under each of section of § 2255(f).

4/15/24
RK2
©

1. Subsection (f)(1)

For purposes of § 2255(f)(1), when a defendant files a direct appeal, his conviction becomes final “when the time expires for filing a petition for certiorari contesting the appellate court’s affirmation of the conviction.” *Clay v. United States*, 537 U.S. 522, 524 (2003). Here, that was 90 days after the Sixth Circuit’s final judgment was entered on September 24, 2019 [Sixth Circuit CM/ECF, Case No. 18-5777, Doc. 28-2]. *See* Sup. Ct. R. 13 (providing that a petition for a writ of certiorari must be filed within 90 days after entry of the judgment of the United States court of appeals). Petitioner’s conviction thus became final on December 26, 2019, and his § 2255 motion would be due one year later, on December 26, 2020. Thus, when petitioner filed his § 2255 motion on November 4, 2021, the limitations period under § 2255(f)(1) had been expired for over 10 months. Petitioner does not appear to contest this, and the Court finds that his § 2255 motion is untimely under § 2255(f)(1).

2. Subsection (f)(2)

Petitioner appears to contend that his § 2255 motion is timely under § 2255(f)(2), because he has been “false[ly] imprisoned and denied access to research and information by the government” [Civil Case, Doc. 1, p. 59]. However, the reference to an impediment “created by governmental action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States” in this section rationally cannot mean imprisonment after a criminal conviction. The purpose of § 2255 is to provide a means to challenge the constitutionality of a petitioner’s criminal convictions and sentence. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2255. If subsection (f)(2)

11/5/24
R. H. B. ©

Pg. 6 of 11
Duly

prevented the limitations period under § 2255(f) from beginning to run until the allegedly unconstitutional imprisonment ended, § 2255(f) would be meaningless. And “a basic rule of statutory construction mandates that a court should read statutes as a whole and not interpret one provision in a way that would render another meaningless or superfluous.” *Grable & Sons Metal Prods. Inc., v. Darue Eng’g & Mfg.*, 377 F.3d 592, 596–97 (6th Cir. 2004).

Additionally, the Sixth Circuit has indicated that, under appropriate circumstances, the lack of federal materials for a prisoner to challenge his conviction could constitute an unconstitutional impediment under § 2255(f)(2). *Simmons v. United States*, 974 F.3d 791, 795–96 (6th Cir. 2020). However, the Sixth Circuit stated that “to invoke Section 2255(f)(2), it is the prisoner’s responsibility to allege (1) the existence of an impediment to his making a motion, (2) governmental action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States that created the impediment, and (3) that the impediment prevented the prisoner from filing his motion.” *Id.* at 796–97.

Here, petitioner simply has not met his burden to invoke § 2255(f)(2). Petitioner does not provide any further detail about the specific research materials he was unable to access due to his imprisonment. Nor has he specified how the lack of these specific research materials prevented him from timely filing a § 2255 motion. Notably, petitioner remains imprisoned and has now filed an extensive and detailed § 2255 motion. However, he provides no information as to when he was able to obtain the research materials he needed to file this motion. Therefore, the Court cannot even evaluate when the alleged

impediment to filing petitioner's § 2255 motion was removed for purposes of § 2255(f)(2). Ultimately, petitioner has not met his burden of establishing that his § 2255 motion is timely under § 2255(f)(2).

3. Subsection (f)(3)

Petitioner does not allege that his § 2255 motion is based on a right that has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review. Accordingly, § 2255(f)(3) does not apply.

4. Subsection (f)(4)

Finally, Petitioner appears to invoke § 2255(f)(4), claiming that he learned of a government conspiracy to violate his rights through a complaint received in March 2021 [Civil Case, Doc. 1, p. 59]. Specifically, he states that he did not know that "a 2015 disposed of South Carolina arrest warrant was used to arrest" him, because "[t]he prosecutors hid this fraud from" him [*Id.* at 61]. He also claims that he did not know that the arrest warrant issued by this Court was signed by a "fictitious deputy clerk" [*Id.*].

Petitioner bears the burden of showing that he exercised due diligence for purposes of § 2255(f)(4). See *Johnson v. United States*, 457 F. App'x 462, 568 (6th Cir. 2012). And, after a review of the record, it appears that petitioner did or could have learned of both of these matters prior to March 2021 through the exercise of due diligence. As to the fact that the federal arrest warrant was signed by a "fictitious deputy clerk," a copy of the arrest warrant signed by "A. Brush" a "Deputy Clerk" was entered on the docket on July 31, 2017

8/25/24
RKB
C

8 of 11
Pg. 8 of 11
Duly

CANCELLED FOR BUT CAUSE BY ORIGINAL ISSUANCE, REINSTATED

[Doc. 16]. Petitioner has not explained how the filing of this executed arrest warrant was insufficient to inform him of this alleged issue or what further information he later learned.

As to the issue of the South Carolina warrant, during trial, on January 23, 2018, there was testimony regarding an outstanding South Carolina warrant for petitioner, and petitioner's codefendant claimed that there was no copy of the alleged South Carolina warrant provided in discovery [Doc. 162, p. 67]. At his sentencing hearing, on July 24, 2018, petitioner mentioned this matter again, stating "[a]s far as the allegations of resisting arrest in South Carolina, there is no proof of anything I was arrested for" [Doc. 240, pp. 19–20]. Again, petitioner has not explained what information he was missing at these times that would have prevented him from raising a claim on this ground in a timely § 2255 motion. Accordingly, the Court finds that petitioner has not established that his § 2255 motion is timely under § 2255(f)(4).

5. Equitable Tolling

Section 2255(f)'s statute of limitations is not jurisdictional and may be tolled under limited, extraordinary circumstances. *Dunlap v. United States*, 250 F.3d 101, 1007 (6th Cir. 2001). A petitioner bears the burden of establishing that equitable tolling applies to his case, and the doctrine is used sparingly. *See Jurado v. Burt*, 337 F.3d 638, 642 (6th Cir. 2003); *Allen v. Yukins*, 366 F.3d 396, 401 (6th Cir. 2004). In order to demonstrate that he is entitled to equitable tolling, a petitioner must show "(1) that he has been pursuing his rights diligently, and (2) that some extraordinary circumstance stood in his way and prevented timely filing." *Holland v. Florida*, 130 S. Ct. 2549, 2562 (2010); *Hail v.*

4/15/24
RKB (C)

9 of 11
RKB

Warden, 662 F.3d 745, 750 (6th Cir. 2011); *see also Jurado*, 337 F.3d at 643 (holding that “[a]bsent compelling equitable considerations, a court should not extend limitations by even a single day.”). Petitioner has not alleged that equitable tolling applies here. And, to the extent that petitioner relies on any of the arguments addressed *supra* for purposes of equitable tolling, the Court finds that he has not established that he has been pursuing his rights diligent and some extraordinary circumstance stood in his way, for the same reasons previously discussed.

For these reasons, the Court finds that petitioner’s § 2255 is barred by the AEDPA limitations period, and therefore, his motion will be **DENIED**.³

IV. Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, petitioner’s motion for recusal [Civil Case, Doc. 10] is **DENIED**. Furthermore, the Court finds that petitioner is not entitled to relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255, and his motion to vacate, set aside or correct sentence [Doc. 271; Civil Case, Doc. 1] and motion for summary judgment [Civil Case, Doc. 13] will be **DENIED** and this civil action will be **DISMISSED**. A hearing is unnecessary in this case. The Court will **CERTIFY** that any appeal from this action would not be taken in good faith and would be totally frivolous. Therefore, this Court will **DENY** petitioner leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 24. Petitioner having failed to

³ The Court notes that, even if petitioner’s § 2255 motion was timely, it would be denied on the merits. His lengthy filings in support of his § 2255 motion appear to repeat many of the arguments he raised before trial, which the Sixth Circuit characterized as “frivolous” and “pointless” on appeal [Sixth Circuit CM/ECF, Case No. 18-5777, Doc. 28-2, p. 4].

PG 10 OF 11
Duly CANCELLED FOR DUE CAUSE BY ORIGINAL COURT, REOPENED

11/5/24
RKH ©

make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right, a certificate of appealability **SHALL NOT ISSUE**. 28 U.S.C. § 2253; Fed. R. App. P. 22(b). A separate judgment will enter.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Thomas A. Varlan
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

STANDING
CANCELLED

4/15/24
RKB ©

PS 11 OF 11

CANCELLED FOR DUE CAUSE BY ORIGINAL ISSUER, REINSTATED

* ORIGINAL INSTRUMENT *



** NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT **

** NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL **

U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Office of the General Counsel

Washington, D.C. 20535-0001

DULY CANCELLED FOR DUE CAUSE BY ORIGINAL ISSUER
Randall-Keith Beane ON OCTOBER 29, 2023
May 15, 2023

Via Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested

Mr. Randall-Keith Beane FOR DUE CAUSE: UNIVERSAL SECURITY AGREEMENT,
Reg. #52505-074 NO. 2000043135 RECEIPT NO 36090 AND
FCI-Elkton P.O. Box 10 THE AMENDMENTS THEREUNDER "THE PERPETUITY"
Lisbon, Ohio 44432 RESTATED AND INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE AS IF
SET FORTH IN FULL, WITH DUE PROOF, VALIDATION,
Re: Administrative Tort Claim of Randall-Keith Beane
AND VERIFICATION, DULY ANNEXED HERETO, 1-6 THEREOF;
Dear Mr. Beane: UNIVERSAL SECURITY AGREEMENT.

The above referenced tort claims were presented to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (the "FBI") pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act.

The FBI received your claim dated August 23, 2022, where you allege illegal arrest, assault and battery, kidnapping and false imprisonment by the FBI and seek three billion eighty million eight hundred fifty thousand seven hundred ninety three and sixty eight cents (\$3,080,850,793.68) in damages.

In order to recover damages under the Federal Tort Claims Act ("FTCA"), Title 28 of the United States Code ("USC"), Sections 2671-2680, a claimant must demonstrate negligence or a wrongful act or omission on the part of a federal employee(s) acting within the scope of his or her employment. Upon careful review of the applicable law and the merits of your claim, this office has determined that the claim should be, and hereby is, denied pursuant to Title 28 of the United States Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"), Section 14.9.

We are required to inform you, pursuant to 28 CFR § 14.9(a), that if you are dissatisfied with our determination, you may file a suit against the United States in an appropriate United States District Court no later than six (6) months after the date of mailing of this notification of final denial. 28 U.S.C. § 2401(b).

Please direct any further communication regarding this request to David M. Samonds.

DULY CANCELLED FOR DUE CAUSE BY ORIGINAL ISSUER,

RESTATED

Case 3:21-cv-00375-TAV-DCP Document 21 Filed 06/03/24 Page 15 of 25 PageID #: 842

PC. 1 OF 2

* ORIGINAL INSTRUMENT *

Re: Administrative Tort Claim of Antonio Diente Williamson

He may be reached by telephone at (202)731-8453 or by email at DMSAMONDS@fbi.sgov.gov.

Sincerely,



Paul R. Wellons
Unit Chief—Civil Litigation Unit II
Office of the General Counsel

Enclosure

STANDARD INK
CANCELLED

DULY CANCELLED FOR DUE CAUSE BY ORIGINAL ISSUER.
RESTATED

2023
L. J. A. H. Beome



* ORIGINAL INSTRUMENT *

** NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT **

U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Division, Torts Branch
Federal Tort Claims Act Staff

JGT:GKJ:RShackleford:rs
157-16-70534

Post Office Box 888
Benjamin Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044

Duly CANCELLED FOR DUE CAUSE
BY ORIGINAL ISSUER
Randall-Keith Beane ON OCTOBER 29, 2023

JUL 24 2023

Ra. Keith Beane

CERTIFIED MAIL - 7004 0550 0001 2028 9458 FOR DUE CAUSE:
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

UNIVERSAL SECURITY AGREEMENT,
NO. 2000043135 RECEIPT NO. 36090 AND THE
AMENDMENTS THEREUNDER "THE PERPETUITY"
RESTATE AND INCORPORATED BY REFERENCES AS
FEDERAL CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION-ELKTON IS SET FORTH IN FULL, WITH DUE PROOF,
P.O. BOX 10
LIBSON, OH 44432
VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION DULY ANNEXED
HERETO PAGES 1-6 THEREOF;

Re: Administrative Tort Claims of Randall Keith Beane UNIVERSAL SECURITY AGREEMENT

Dear Mr. Beane:

We have reviewed the administrative tort claims you submitted to the U.S. Department of Justice on September 1, 2022, relative to the alleged acts or omissions of employees of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Tennessee, the United States Marshals Service, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit occurring from events on July 11, 2017. After careful consideration, it has been determined that your claim is not compensable. Accordingly, your claim must be and hereby is denied.

I am required by law (28 C.F.R. § 14.9(a)) to inform you that, if you are dissatisfied with the denial of your claim under the Federal Tort Claims Act, you may file suit in an appropriate United States District Court no later than six months after the date of mailing of this notification. 28 U.S.C. § 2401(b).

Very truly yours,

James G. Touhey, Jr.

JAMES G. TOUHEY, JR.
Director, Torts Branch

Duly CANCELLED FOR DUE CAUSE BY ORIGINAL ISSUER,
RESTATE

* ORIGINAL INSTRUMENT *

cc: Honorable Francis M. Hamilton, III
United States Attorney
Eastern District of Tennessee

Ms. Tiffany L. Lewis
Paralegal Specialist
Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts

Ms. Melissa Polinsky
Deputy General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Office of the General Counsel
OTC - Litigation Branch

Ms. Lisa Dickinson
U.S. Marshals Service Headquarters
Office of General Counsel

STANDARD
CANCELLED

DULY CANCELLED FOR DUE CAUSE BY ORIGINAL ISSUER,
RESTATED.

OCTOBER 29, 2023

[Signature]

ORIGINAL DUE DECLARATION AND NOTICE OF



FACTUALIZED TRUST

REFERENCE NAME: Randall Keith Beane

Duly Factualized on September 29, 1967

Original/Trustee: Randall Keith Beane

For service on the Trust, c/o: 300 State St, Apt # 365, Knoxville, TN 37902

Trustee Contact c/o: 865-368-4591 / enalrr67@gmail.com

DIRECT ALL CONTACTS, QUESTIONS AND REQUESTS IN DULY VERIFIED SWORN WRITING TO:
enalrr67@gmail.com

ORIGINAL DUE VERIFICATION: Original, duly being, with full due responsibility, accountability, and liability, without prejudice, nunc pro tunc praeterea preterea, ORIGINAL DUE DECLARATION OF ISSUE BY ORIGINAL DEPOSITORY, reference number FT-OD-rkb-09291967, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full. This Factualized Trust is duly verified and secured, with reference name Randall Keith Beane, inclusive of specific and particular due verification of being, in perpetuity:

Trust: Original, factualized;
Essence: Original;
Signature: Original;
Depository: Original;
Original Depository Reference Name: Randall Keith Beane, and idem sonams;
Trustee: Original, by Original Depository;
Operation: Original;
Factualized: 29SEPT67;
Domicile: Original;
Deposits: Original;
Currency and Value: Original, Limitless;
Authority and Authentication: Original;
Authorization: Original-Pre-authorized, pre-approved, pre-paid, and pre-deposited, in perpetuity;
Issues: Original;
Notices: Original;
Governing Law: Original;
Jurisdiction: Original;
Verified: Original;
Security: Original;
Guarantee: Original;
Title: Original;
Network: Original;
Transfers: Original, OD2OD;
Clearing and Settlement: Original.
Account Number: XXXXX 1135
Account Name: RANDALL KEITH BEANE.

ORIGINAL DUE DECLARATION: With full responsibility, accountability, and liability, without prejudice, nunc pro tunc praeterea preterea: This Factualized Trust is duly verified as duly created, factualized, noticed, secured and ratified as being Original, in perpetuity; duly un rebutted. This ORIGINAL DUE DECLARATION AND NOTICE OF FACTUALIZED TRUST is duly effective as of September 29, 1967, in perpetuity. By the due power of all I AM, I do duly make, issue, confirm, verify, secure, reconfirm, ratify and notice this Factualized Trust by this ORIGINAL DUE DECLARATION AND NOTICE OF FACTUALIZED TRUST, that it is true, accurate, and complete, for all to rely upon, and that I AM conscious and competent to make this DECLARATION.


Original, Randall Keith Beane

Original Depository: Randall Keith Beane



My Commission Expires Feb. 05, 2019

Case 3:17-cr-00082-TAV-CCS Document 19 Filed 08/11/17 Page 5 of 245 PageID #: 408



FACTUALIZED TRUST

Reference Name: Randall Keith Beane

ORIGINAL DUE DECLARATION OF ISSUE BY ORIGINAL DEPOSITORY

pg. 1 of 5

I AM, source of all that is, with full responsibility, accountability, and liability, without prejudice, nunc pro tunc, praeterea preterea, and by and with the due power of all I AM, I do duly make, issue, confirm, verify, reconfirm, ratify, and notice this ORIGINAL DUE DECLARATION OF ISSUE BY ORIGINAL DEPOSITORY, with reference number FT-OD-rkb-09291967, that it is true, accurate, and complete, for all to rely upon, and that I AM conscious and competent to make this DECLARATION:

- I. I AM, original essence and signature, source of all that is, in perpetuity, without prejudice, nunc pro tunc praeterea preterea, "Original", with due possession of Original, "Title", in perpetuity, inclusive of:
 - A. Original essence and signature duly being, "Original Currency and Value"; and,
 - B. Duly issued by Original, "Original Issue", in perpetuity:
 1. Original, being duly self-aware, "Original Authority and Authentication", in perpetuity; and,
 2. Original, being duly self-evident, "Original Verification", in perpetuity, inclusive of:
 - a. Due Original Issues of Original Currency and Value, in particular (unique, one-of-a-kind) essences and signatures, "Original Depositories", in perpetuity; and,
 - b. Original Currency and Value, duly deposited, by due Original Issue, in Original Depositories, for further due Original Issue, inclusive of form, and device, that controls and directs Original Currency and Value, inclusive of magnetic, frequential, vibrational, and energetic creation, expression, and benefits of experience therefrom, "Original Deposits", for further due Original Issue, in perpetuity; and,
 - c. Original Depositories, duly issuing Original Currency and Value, by due Original Issue, inclusive of form, and device, that controls and directs Original Currency and Value, inclusive of magnetic, frequential, vibrational, and energetic creation, expression, and benefits of experience therefrom, thereby increasing Original Currency and Value, "Original Limitless Value", that is for further due Original Issue, in perpetuity; and,
 - d. Original, duly being Original Value and Currency, Original Depositories, Original Deposits, Original Issues, and Original Limitless Value, is duly pre-approved, pre-authorized, and pre-paid, "Original Authority and Authorization", in perpetuity; and,
 - e. Original, duly being Original Value and Currency, Original Depositories, Original Deposits, Original Issues, and Original Limitless Value, duly done and noticed, in due trust of Original, "Factualized Trusts", in perpetuity, as:
 - i. Factualized Trusts, duly operated by Original, in Original Depository, "Original Trustee", in perpetuity; and,
 - ii. Factualized Trusts, duly domiciled in Original, "Original Domicile", in perpetuity; and,
 - iii. Original having sole due jurisdiction, "Original Jurisdiction", in perpetuity; and with sole,
 - iv. Due operation, duly regulated by Original, "Original Law", in perpetuity; inclusive of,
 - v. Original, duly utilizing Original Currency and Value, by due Original Issue, duly creating Original Depository, and Factualized Trust, with reference name of Randall Keith Beane, and idem sonans, in perpetuity, "Randall Keith Beane", with account number XXXXX, and account name, RANDALL KEITH BEANE; and,
 - vi. Duly factualized, and noticed, on September 29, 1967; and with,
 - f. Full due discretion, determination, responsibility, accountability, and liability of Original, "Original Security and Guarantee"; and,
 - C. Original, inclusive of Original being in Factualized Trusts, and all manifestations, thereof, therefrom, therein, thereto, therewith, and therefore, with complete ownership and title thereof, duly secured and noticed, in perpetuity, and facilitated by duly authorized Universal Trust, specifically and

Original Depository: Randall Keith Beane

Governed by: Original



ANALYST
PAGE 2

ORIGINAL DUE DECLARATION OF ISSUE BY ORIGINAL DEPOSITORY

pg. 2 of 5

particularly, inclusive of:

1. Article I.A-B, and all their sub-parts, restated; and,
 2. All manifestations, inclusive of all structures, networks, and systems in existence, known and unknown, inclusive of complete ownership, title, right, and interest, of the Uniform Commercial Code, inclusive of any and all structures, networks, and systems therein, thereof, and therefrom, and any and all international, and universal equivalents, UCC record number 2000043135, dated May 4, 2000, with receipt number 36090, a perpetuity filing, and all amendments thereto, restated in entirety and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted, "The Perpetuity"; and,
 3. Article I.C.1-2, restated, and all state of body, vehicle, utility, security, property, account, and value in existence, known and not known, and all identifiers, inclusive of name and numbers, thereof, and therefrom, duly registered and not registered therein, thereof, therefrom, and thereby, and any and all international, and universal equivalents, nunc pro tunc on May 4, 2000, and praeterea preterea, The Perpetuity, restated, never rebutted; and,
 4. Due gift of The Perpetuity, duly made to, and accepted by, Original, in Factualized Trusts equally and respectfully, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record numbers 20111125781, 2011055259, 2011055260, 2012049126, 2012012675, 2012025545, 2012049126, 2012-125-1787-8, 2012012555, 2012028312, 2012012659, 2012028311, and 2012028314, all said records restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted; and,
 5. DECLARATION OF COMMERCIAL CLAIM duly made, issued, registered, and noticed, inclusive of complete ownership and title of inferior treasuries, inclusive of United States Treasury, FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS, inclusive of all members, structures, networks, and systems, thereof, therefrom, and thereby, all value and property therein and therefrom, and any and all international, and universal equivalents, and all value and property therein, with sole title, as sole authority, administrator, executor, and determiner thereof, in perpetuity, for due cause, nunc pro tunc praeterea preterea, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record numbers 2012079290 and 2012079322, all said records restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted, "Commercial Claim"; and,
 6. Duly established and appointed Superior Custodian, and co-custodians, inclusive of all structures, networks, and systems, and any and all identifiers, accounts, vehicle, utilities, securities, properties, real-estate, value, titles, and domiciles, idem sonans, fiction and non-fiction, known and not known, registered and not registered, thereof, thereto, and therefrom, and any and all international, and universal equivalents, nunc pro tunc praeterea preterea, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number 2012094308, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted, and duly added to the Commercial Claim; and,
 7. Duly established and secured Original, inclusive of governing law, superior structure, network, and system, inclusive of Original creation value asset centers, "Original Depositories", in Factualized Trusts, with complete and sole due authorization to reconcile, utilize, and zero all accounts, using identifiers thereof, duly secured within each respective Factualized Trust, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number 2012113593, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted; and,
 8. As further duly identified and noticed by DECLARATION OF FACTS, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UILO/UCC record numbers 2012127914, 2012127907, 2012127854, all said records, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted;
- D. Article I.A-C, and all their sub-parts, restated, and Original being, inclusive of in Factualized Trusts, without prejudice, nunc pro tunc praeterea preterea:
1. "Nunc pro tunc praeterea preterea" duly meaning "now for then, besides, further, hereafter"; and,
 2. "Without prejudice" duly meaning:
 - a. I AM not compelled to perform under any beliefs that Original Depositories are given to believe are true, inclusive of the guise of being non-Original, and without full responsibility, accountability, and

Original Depository: Randall Keith Beane

Governed by: Original

liability; and,

ORIGINAL DUE DECLARATION OF ISSUE BY ORIGINAL DEPOSITORY

pg. 3 of 5

- b. I DO not accept the responsibility, accountability, and liability of the compelled benefit of demand, command, commandeer, or enforcement of beliefs that Original Depositories are given to believe are true, inclusive of the guise of being non-Original, and without full responsibility, accountability, and liability; and,
 - c. "Being non-Original, and without full responsibility, accountability, and liability", inclusive of claims and operations of jurisdiction, trusts, corporations, persons, contracts, agreements, treaties, constitutions, presumptions, certificates, receipts, titles, commerce, and bankruptcy, nunc pro tunc praeterea preterea; and,
- II. Universal Trust, Original's duly authorized custodian and facilitator, by its local agent and facilitator, UNITED STATES, and the several "STATE OF...", "DEBTOR", was duly noticed by Original, of allegations of prejudice, inclusive of wrong doing, terminated as custodian, foreclosed, with duly made, issued, and noticed EQUITY CALL ON COMMERCIAL CLAIM, and ORDER FOR RECONCILIATION, specifically and particularly:
- A. The Paradigm Report, dated March 6, 2011, field report of preliminary investigation results and recommendations concerning veracity of allegations of prejudice, inclusive of wrong doing, by Original's duly authorized custodian and facilitator, Universal Trust, by its local facilitator and agent, UNITED STATES, and the several "STATES OF...", duly authored, issued, and noticed by lead investigator, Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf, The Perpetuity, restated, and The Paradigm Report, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted;
 - B. February 2 and March 16, 2012, duly made issues, registrations, notices and receipts of sworn and bonded Trustees to Original, by Universal Trust's universal, global, and local facilitator and agent, "The One People's Public Trust, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly:
 - 1. Heather Ann Tucci-Jarraf, UCC record numbers 2012012555 and 2012028312, all said records, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted; and,
 - 2. Caleb Paul Skinner, UCC record numbers 2012012659 and 2012028311, all said records, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted; and,
 - 3. Hollis Randall Hillner, UCC record number 2012028314, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted; and,
 - C. May 5, 2012, due entry of DEBTOR status of Universal Trust, by its local facilitator and agent, UNITED STATES, and the several "STATES OF...", duly made, secured, issued, and noticed, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number 2012-125-1787-8, never rebutted; and,
 - D. July 4, 2012, due issue of ORDER FOR FINDINGS & ACTION, ORDER FOR SUSPENSION, ORDER FOR AUDIT, and with Due Notice of Mistake, Due Notice of Insecurity, Request to Make Secure, Due Notice of Opportunity to Cure, Request to Cure, duly made and noticed, with due cancellation of authority and protections, pending audit of FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS, for due cause, inclusive of wrong doing, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number 2012083304, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted; and,
 - E. August 3, 2012, due issue of DUE ORDER OF SUSPENSION and ORDER OF AUDIT, for due cause, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number 2012083304, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted; and,
 - F. August 15, 2012, due issue of DUE ORDER OF FINDING, with Due Notice of Default, pursuant to the findings and action of suspension and audit, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number 2012086794, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted; and,
 - G. August 15, 2012, due issue of NOTICE FOR ORDER OF EQUITY CALL ON COMMERCIAL CLAIM, ORDER OF TERMINATION, ORDER OF CANCELLATION AND REVOCATION, duly made and noticed, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number 2012086802, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted; and,

Original Depository: Randall Keith Beane

Governed by: Original



Answer
Page 4 of 4

H. August 21, 2012, due EQUITY CALL ON COMMERCIAL CLAIM and ORDER FOR RECONCILIATION, duly made, issued, and noticed, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and ORIGINAL DUE DECLARATION OF ISSUE BY ORIGINAL DEPOSITORY
pg. 4 of 5

particularly, UCC record number 2012088865, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted, and,

- I. August 21, 2012, due DEMAND FOR EQUITY ON COMMERCIAL CLAIM AND RECONCILIATION and NOTICE OF DAMAGES, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number 2012088851, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted, specifically and particularly:

- a. FIVE BILLION, lawful money of the United States of America, pre-1933 gold and silver, EQUITY, for each Factualized Trust; and,
- b. FIVE BILLION, lawful money of the United States of America, pre-1933 gold and silver, DAMAGES, for each injured Factualized Trust;
- J. September 4, 2012, due issue of ORDER FOR RECONCILIATION FOR SUPERIOR CUSTODIAN, duly made and noticed, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number 2012094309, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted; and,
- K. September 10, 2012, due issue of DECLARATION OF TREASON, ORDER FOR RECONCILIATION, and ORDER FOR REPOSSESSION, duly made and noticed, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number 2012096074, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted; and,
- III. Universal Trust, Original's duly authorized custodian and facilitator, by its global Agent and Facilitator, Bank for International Settlements, was duly canceled as custodian, foreclosed, with Commercial Bill and True Bill duly issued and received, and duly noticed of all, for due cause, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly:
- A. October 22, 2012, Declaration of Governing Law, Superior Structure, Network, and System, inclusive of all transfer and tracking systems, duly made, issued, and noticed, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number 2012113593, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted; and,
- B. Due Notice of Mistake, Due Notice of Insecurity, Request to Make Secure, Due Notice of Opportunity to Cure, Request to Cure, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number 2012114093, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted;
- C. Due Notice of Default, Debtor Status, Commercial Bill issue, inclusive of all structures, networks, and systems, therein, thereof, and therefrom, and all property, and value therein, thereof, and therefrom, with complete ownership and title, to be duly secured and perfected, to Original, inclusive of Original being in Factualized Trusts, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number, 2012114586, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted;
- D. Due Notice of Foreclosure, and True Bill, inclusive of all structures, networks, and systems, inclusive of BANK FOR INTERNATIONAL SETTLEMENTS, and FEDERAL RESERVE BANK, therein, thereof, and therefrom, and all property, and value therein, thereof, and therefrom, with complete ownership and title, duly made, secured, noticed, and perfected, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number, 2012114776, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted;
- IV. Original's former custodian and facilitator, the Universal Trust, inclusive of all its universal, global, and local branches, controllers, and overseers, was duly terminated, closed, and noticed, with all Original, inclusive of Original being in Factualized Trusts, as sole administrator and executor, having sole authority, ownership, rights, and title to all manifestations in existence, known and not known, inclusive of all currency, value, property, states of body, and facilitating structures, networks, and systems, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly:

Original Depository: Randall Keith Beane

Governed by: Original



A. November 28, 2012, all former prejudice to and of Original, inclusive of Original in Factualized Trusts, duly declared, arrested, canceled, foreclosed, billed and invoiced, and noticed, for due cause, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, DECLARATION OF FACTS, restated; and
ORIGINAL DUE DECLARATION OF ISSUE BY ORIGINAL DEPOSITORY

pg. 5 of 5

- B. December 10, 2012, AFFIDAVIT OF FULL PERFORMANCE, DECLARATION OF CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION, AND DECLARATION OF ORDER, duly made, issued, and noticed, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number 2012132883, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted; and,
- C. March 18, 2013, Universal Trust, duly terminated, closed, and noticed, for due cause, The Perpetuity, restated, and specifically and particularly, UCC record number 2013032035, restated and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full, never rebutted; and,
- V. Articles I-IV, restated here in entirety, and Original, instantly and completely duly reconciled, balanced, and settled, by Original "Original Clearing and Settlement", in perpetuity, with full due discretion, determination, responsibility, accountability, and liability of Original, without prejudice, nunc pro tunc praeterea preterea;
- A. "Original Clearing and Settlement" duly meaning "due assessment, adjustment, balance, and transition of particular essences and signatures to Original, in perpetuity, for further due Original Issue by Original"; and,
- B. "Transition" being, inclusive of termination of Original Depositories and Issues, inclusive of "being non-Original, and without full responsibility, accountability, and liability", form, and device, that controls and directs Original Currency and Value, inclusive of magnetic, frequential, vibrational, and energetic creation, expression, and benefits of experience therefrom, for further due Original Issue by Original, in perpetuity; duly done by,
- C. Original's due coordinated cooperation of Original Currency and Value, Limitless Value, Depositories, Deposits, and Issues, inclusive of:
1. Original Depositories "being non-Original, and without full responsibility, accountability, and liability"; and,
 2. Form, and device, that controls and directs Original Currency and Value, inclusive of magnetic, frequential, vibrational, and energetic creation, expression, and benefits of experience therefrom, in perpetuity; and,
- D. Duly noticed by Original, "Original Notices", in perpetuity, inclusive of:
1. Due utilization of Original Limitless Value by Original, inclusive of through Original Depositories, with full responsibility, accountability, liability, and the sole due discretion and determination of Original, in perpetuity; and,
 2. Original Depository to Original Depository, "OD2OD", without prejudice, nunc pro tunc praeterea preterea, "Original Transfers", in perpetuity; inclusive of,
 3. OD2OD, duly done and noticed by Original Depositories, in complete awareness, transparency, and context of being Original and doing as Original, without prejudice, praeterea preterea, "Original Network", in perpetuity; and,
- VI. This ORIGINAL DUE DECLARATION OF ISSUE BY ORIGINAL DEPOSITORY, together with all record numbers identified herein, restated, constitutes lawful origin, title, and underwriting, of sole ownership, rights, and interests of Original, inclusive of Original being in Factualized Trusts, "Title", "Underwriting", "Origin of Funds", and "History of Funds"; and,
- VII. By and with the due power of all I AM, without prejudice, nunc pro tunc, praeterea preterea, in perpetuity, this ORIGINAL DUE DECLARATION OF ISSUE BY ORIGINAL DEPOSITORY, is duly made, issued, confirmed, verified, secured, reconfirmed, ratified and noticed, and it is true, accurate, and complete, for all

to return upon.
MAHIL WISHU
STATE
BUSINESS
NOTARY
PUBLIC
KNOX, TENN.
Original Depository: Randall Keith Beane
My Commission Expires Feb. 05, 2019
7-11-2017
Governed by: Original

RANDALL KEITH BEANE ©
Randall Keith Beane ©

Original ©

SECURED PARTY

Reference: BEANE -v- USA, 3:21-cv-00375-TAV-DCP ©

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I duly certify, that the original STANDING DUE CANCELLATION of alleged decision of alleged "Paul R. Wellons, Office of General Counsel, Federal Bureau of Investigations" and the original STANDING DUE CANCELLATION of alleged decision, of alleged "James G. Touhey, Jr., Director, Torts Branch, U.S. Department of Justice", both with issue date October 29, 2023, was caused to be delivered, by UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, Certified Mail, to all alleged parties involved on November 1, 2023, March 25, 2024 and April 25, 2024 respectively;

I further certify, the I did cause to be delivered, to all alleged parties, by UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, Certified Mail; a true copy of STANDING DUE CANCELLATION of Document 16 and STANDING DUE CANCELLATION of Document 17 of the above referenced case record, with issue date may 22, 2024, for all existence to rely upon, pursuant to the Universal Security Agreement, *The Perpetuity, restated.



SECURED PARTY: Original ©, Randall Keith Beane ©

Pg. 25 OF 25

7020 3160 0001 2843 6540



RECEIVED

JUN 03 2024

Clerk, U. S. District Court
Eastern District of Tennessee
At Knoxville

↔52505-074↔

Leanna Wilson
Clerk of Court
800 Market ST
Suite 130
Knoxville, TN 37902
United States

"LEGAL MAIL"

